

SUPER IQ

SUPERCONCEPTS
Australia's Leading Self Managed Fund Specialists

Pension Strategies

Introduction

- This material has been developed and provided by SuperIQ Pty Ltd (SuperIQ), Super Concepts Pty Limited (Super Concepts) and Corporate Custodians Pty Ltd
- SuperIQ and Super Concepts are SMSF administrators
- You can get full details of the services we provide from our web sites at www.superiq.com.au and www.superconcepts.com.au
- If you wish to register for one of our seminars you can do this from the following links [SuperIQ Seminars](#) and [Super Concepts Seminars](#)
- This material is owned by SuperIQ and Super Concepts. You can provide it to other people in its current form or if you reference SuperIQ or SuperIQ if it is provided in a different form

Agenda

- Centrelink changes to income streams
- Reversionary pensions – not just for Centrelink!
- Pension payment standards:
 - Can a lump sum be a pension payment?
 - Can I pay a pension “in-specie”?
 - Over-payment and under-payment of pensions.
- Taxation of Death Benefits Pensions.
- How can we help?

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- In December 2013 the Social Services and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2013 was tabled in Parliament.
- The purpose of this Bill is to align the income test treatment of Account Based Pensions with the deemed income rules applying to other financial assets.
- Applies to individuals being assessed for Centrelink and/or Department of Veterans Affairs benefits.
- Received Assent 31 March 2014 and will come into effect 1 January 2015.
- ***Budget 2014-15 announced the reset of the deeming thresholds effective 20 September 2017.***

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- Currently Centrelink bases income from certain financial investments at an assumed rate of return, *not the actual rate of return*.
- This 'deemed' income is then used to determine client eligibility for the Age Pension under the Incomes Test.
- The deeming rates for financial investments are 2% up to a certain threshold, then 3.5% on financial assets held above that lower threshold.
- *Income from Account Based Pensions is not based on the deeming rate, however.*

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- Income from Account Based Pensions is determined by dividing a certain portion of the capital value of the income stream by the recipient's life expectancy at the start of the pension.
- This often results in a much lower income assessment for Account Based Pensions when compared with the deeming rates on financial investments.
- Therefore for some it is appropriate to optimise their Centrelink Age Pension entitlements by investing in an Account Based Pension due to its favourable Centrelink treatment.

Centrelink changes

Case Study

- Richard is 65 years old (DOB 13 July 1949).
- He started an Account Based Pension on his 65th Birthday.
- His super balance at the pension start was \$500,000 and he draws the minimum ($5\% \times \$500,000 = \$25,000$).
- The Deductible Amount calculated for Centrelink purposes (i.e. the amount of pension income IGNORED under the Income Test) is as follows:
- $\$500,000 / 18.54 = \$26,968.72$
- ***Therefore no income from Richard's Account Based Pension is counted under the Centrelink Incomes Test.***

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- From 1 January 2015, the Centrelink deeming rules will apply to the following:
 - Account based income streams with a commencement date of 1 January 2015 or later.
 - Account based income streams with a commencement date before 1 January 2015 where the recipient was not receiving a Government income support payment prior to 1 January 2015.

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- There are grandfathering provisions in place to deal with existing account based income streams:
 - The account based income stream commenced before 1 January 2015; and
 - The individual receives a Government income support payment immediately before 1 January 2015; and
 - The Government income support received by the individual is continuously received since 1 January 2015.

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- Where the primary pension recipient passes away and the income stream reverts to a reversionary beneficiary, the grandfathering rules apply provided:
 - At the time of the reversion, the reversionary beneficiary is receiving a Government income support payment; and
 - Since reversion, the reversionary beneficiary has continuously been receiving Government income support payments.
- What does reversionary mean?

Centrelink changes

What does 'reversionary' mean?

- The rules governing the pension state, **at the start**, the pension is to continue to a beneficiary.
- On the death of the primary pensioner, the secondary pensioner automatically receives the benefit as a **continuing income stream**.
- A non-reversionary pension means the income stream **ceases on death**.
- The surviving spouse may then decide to take the deceased's benefit as a lump sum, or a **new income stream**.
- However the **original income stream ceases**.

Centrelink changes

Case Study

- Peter and Angelique have their own SMSF and Peter is in pension mode.
- His pension is reversionary to Angelique.
- Peter is 65 and Angelique is 62.
- Peter is in receipt of a partial Centrelink Age Pension.
- Angelique receives no Government entitlements (she would receive a partial Age Pension at age 65).
- Peter passes away at age 67.
- ***As Angelique has not been continuously in receipt of Government income support the grandfathering provisions do not apply.***

Centrelink changes

Case Study

- Emma and Richard have their own SMSF and are both in pension mode.
- Their pensions are non-reversionary.
- They are both in receipt of a partial Centrelink Age Pension.
- Richard passes away and Emma decides to take his benefit as a death benefit pension.
- ***As Richard's pension was non-reversionary, the capital value will be subject to the Centrelink deeming rules.***

Centrelink changes

Case Study

- Sandy and Joseph have their own SMSF and are both in pension mode.
- Their pensions are reversionary to each other.
- They are both in receipt of a partial Centrelink Age Pension.
- Sandy passes away and her pension automatically reverts to Joseph.
- ***As Sandy's pension was reversionary, the capital value will be not be subject to the Centrelink deeming rules (i.e. the grandfathering provisions will apply).***

Centrelink changes

Case Study – current rules

- Stuart and Elisabeth are homeowners, both aged 65 and have the following Centrelink financial assets:

– Cash	\$10,000
– Term deposit	\$50,000
– Shares	\$90,000
– Super	\$500,000
– Total	\$650,000
- All assets are owned 50/50 (including super).
- They also have a car (\$10,000) and contents (\$10,000).
- They start pensions with their super drawing 5% per annum (\$12,500 each).

Centrelink changes

Case Study – current rules

What is counted under the Incomes Test?

- Stuart = $\$250,000/18.54 = \$13,484$ Deductible Amount.
- If he draws 5% = $\$12,500$ therefore nothing counted under the Incomes Test.
- Elisabeth = $\$250,000/21.62 = \$11,563$ Deductible Amount.
- If she draws 5% = $\$12,500$ therefore $\$12,500 - \$11,563 = \$937$ counted under the Incomes Test.
- The remainder of the Centrelink financial assets are deemed for Incomes Test purposes.

Centrelink changes

Case Study – current rules

What is counted under the Incomes Test?

- \$150,000 is deemed (2% on the first \$79,600 then 3.5% on the rest).
- $\$79,600 \times 2\% = \$1,592$.
- $\$70,400 \times 3.5\% = \$2,464$.
- Total deemed = \$4,056 per annum (\$156 per fortnight).
- Maximum earnings for a couple before it impacts on the Age Pension = \$284 per fortnight.
- ***No impact under the Incomes Test.***

Centrelink changes

Case Study – current rules

What is counted under the Assets Test?

- Stuart and Elisabeth have \$670,000 in Centrelink Assets.
- The maximum amount allowed is \$286,500.
- $\$670,000 - \$286,500 = \$383,500$ counted under the Centrelink Assets Test.
- The reduction in Age Pension is \$1.50 for every \$1,000 over the threshold.
- $\$383,500 / \$1,000 \times \$1.50 = \575 reduction in Age Pension per fortnight.
- ***Therefore Age Pension is \$1,271 - \$575 = \$696 per fortnight (\$18,096 per annum).***

Centrelink changes

Case Study – new rules

What is counted under the Incomes Test?

- \$650,000 is deemed (2% on the first \$79,600 then 3.5% on the rest).
- $\$79,600 \times 2\% = \$1,592$.
- $\$570,400 \times 3.5\% = \$19,964$.
- Total deemed = \$21,556 per annum (\$829 per fortnight).
- Maximum earnings for a couple before it impacts on the Age Pension = \$284 per fortnight.
- ***Reduction is \$0.50c per \$1 over \$284, therefore \$1,271 - \$273 = \$998 per fortnight under the Incomes Test (\$25,948 per annum).***

Centrelink changes

Case Study – new rules

What is counted under the Assets Test?

- Stuart and Elisabeth have \$670,000 in Centrelink Assets.
- The maximum amount allowed is \$286,500.
- $\$670,000 - \$286,500 = \$383,500$ counted under the Centrelink Assets Test.
- The reduction in Age Pension is \$1.50 for every \$1,000 over the threshold.
- $\$383,500 / \$1,000 \times \$1.50 = \575 reduction in Age Pension per fortnight.
- ***Therefore Age Pension is \$1,271 - \$575 = \$696 per fortnight (\$18,096 per annum).***

Centrelink changes

Case Study – comparison

	Current Rules	New Rules
Maximum Pension	\$33,046	\$33,046
Incomes Test	\$33,046	\$25,948
Assets Test	\$18,096	\$18,096
Actual Entitlement	\$18,096	\$18,096
Pension Reduction	(\$14,950)	(\$14,950)

- The impact is the Assets Test – not the Incomes Test.
- ***The rule change – in this case – will make no difference at current deeming rates.***

Centrelink changes

What if Centrelink Financial Assets are \$350,000?

	Current Rules	New Rules
Maximum Pension	\$33,046	\$33,046
Incomes Test	\$33,046	\$31,200
Assets Test	\$29,796	\$29,796
Actual Entitlement	\$29,796	\$29,796
Pension Reduction	(\$3,250)	(\$3,250)

- The impact is the Assets Test – not the Incomes Test.
- ***The rule change – in this case – will make no difference at current deeming rates.***

Centrelink changes

What if Centrelink Assets are \$950,000?

	Current Rules	New Rules
Maximum Pension	\$33,046	\$33,046
Incomes Test	\$29,458	\$12,350
Assets Test	\$6,396	\$6,396
Actual Entitlement	\$6,396	\$6,396
Pension Reduction	(\$26,650)	(\$26,650)

- The impact is the Assets Test – not the Incomes Test.
- ***The rule change – in this case – will make no difference at current deeming rates.***

Centrelink changes

Centrelink deeming of pension income

- Clients may commence an Account Based Pension prior to 1 January 2015.
- Retire and apply for (and receive) Age Pension prior to 1 January 2015.
- Make non-reversionary income streams reversionary (the would require a commutation of the existing income stream).
- BUT clients need to be mindful of this strategy – the Centrelink Deductible Amount may decrease, which may lead to the Incomes Test impacting Centrelink entitlements.
- Look to invest in assets that provide a yield greater than the Centrelink deeming rates.
- ***Remember – deeming thresholds change 20 September 2017!***

Centrelink changes

Example

- Alex, 65, starts a non-reversionary pension on 1 July 2014 with \$400,000.
- The Centrelink Deductible Amount is $\$400,000/18.54 = \$21,575$.
- Therefore \$21,575 of pension income is not counted under the Incomes Test.
- He decides to commute this pension to make it reversionary to his wife Dianne, who is 57.
- The Centrelink Deductible Amount will change to $\$400,000/28.70 = \$13,937$.
- *This may impact on Centrelink entitlements now.*

Commonwealth Seniors Health Card

What is it?

- A card available for Australians of Age Pension age would don't get any Government income support.
- Bulk-billed Doctor appointments.
- Cheaper out-of-hospital medical expenses through Medicare Safety Net.
- Concessional rail travel on Great Southern rail services (Indian Pacific, The Ghan and The Overland).
- In some instances, extra health, household, transport, education, and recreation concessions that are offered by state or territory and local governments and private businesses.
- Accessibility based on an Incomes Test.

Commonwealth Seniors Health Card

Changes announced in Budget

- From 1 January 2015, income from superannuation pensions will be included in the CSHC Incomes Test.
- However, income streams commenced before 1 January 2015 will include grandfathering provisions.
- BUT, a reversionary pension will not continue to be grandfathered once the primary pensioner dies.
- It will then be deemed under the CSHC Income Test.
- Threshold is \$80,000 for couples and \$50,000 for singles.

Commonwealth Seniors Health Card

Example

- Jo and Paul have \$1 million each in Account Based Pensions.
- They are both 66 and receive the CSHC.
- They draw the minimum (\$100,000 per annum combined) from their pensions.
- The pensions commenced prior to 1 January 2015.
- The pensions are reversionary.
- If Paul passes away, his \$1 million pension will be deemed under the CSHC Income Test.
- \$34,280 will be deemed, so Jo would NOT lose the CSHC.

Reversionary Pensions

So why have a reversionary pension?

- On death, the SMSF Trustee has full discretion to whom the benefit is paid.
- Unless:
 - There is a Binding Death Benefit Nomination (BDBN).
 - The pension is reversionary.
- On the death of the primary pensioner, a reversionary pension does not cease.
- If a pension is non-reversionary, it does cease on death and the monies are then in accumulation mode.

Reversionary Pensions

Case Study

- Craig and Helen are Trustees and Members of their SMSF.
- They are Executors of each other's Estate.
- They have pensions in their SMSF that revert to each other on death.
- Craig passes away.
- Craig's pension would automatically go to Helen (it does not stop).
- Helen would not need to apply for Grant of Probate or Letters of Administration.
- She can continue to receive Craig's pension.

Reversionary Pensions

Case Study (cont.)

- If Craig's pension had been non-reversionary, it would cease on death.
- His benefit would revert back to accumulation mode.
- If Helen wanted to take Craig's benefit as a death benefit pension, she would need to:
 - Have Interim accounts drawn up from 1 July to date of death.
 - May have to apply for Grant of Probate.
 - Have pension documentation drawn up.

Reversionary Pensions

Binding Death Benefit or Reversionary Pension?

- A BDBN is a direction from the Member to the Trustee instructing how the Trustee should pay the Member's benefit if they die.
- Superannuation benefits can only be paid to 'dependants'.
- Can include the estate of the deceased.
- A BDBN is binding on the Trustee of the Fund.
- However a reversionary pension does not cease on death – it seamlessly continues to the reversionary pension recipient.
- Therefore the reversionary pension wins.
- ***But it often depends on the Fund's Trust Deed and other documentation.***

Reversionary Pensions

Case Study

- Richard has a pension and accumulation benefit in his fund.
- The pension is reversionary to his spouse, Sandy.
- Richard has a BDBN that states his benefit is to go to Grant, his Son from his first marriage.
- On Richard's death the pension will continue to Sandy.
- The accumulation benefit will go to Grant.
- ***If the pension was non-reversionary, the whole benefit on Richard's death would go to Grant.***
- This is where things can go wrong!

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- ATO put out paper in December 2008 outlining that election can be made under ITAR 995-1.03 to have commutation lump sum treated as a pension payment.
- More attractive to have withdrawal taxed as a lump sum rather than a pension:
 - **Low rate cap** – Taxpayers aged 55-59 pay no tax on first \$185,000 of lump sum drawn from taxable component of superannuation.
 - **17% tax rate** – Taxpayers between 55-59 pay only 17% tax on a lump sum payment over and above their low cap rate. In many instances this will be less than the tax on the benefit if it had been a pension payment (even taking into account the 15% tax offset).

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- However there are some issues that need to be considered:
 - Lump sums are only available from unrestricted non-preserved money – and many clients who are in TTR mode do not have this preservation status in their benefit.
 - There are many benefits of having a pension in place (chiefly the ability to generate tax free investment income and realised capital gains within the fund).
- ***But it is possible to have a pension and treat payments as lump sums.***

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- SIS Act requires at least a minimum amount to be paid from a pension each financial year
- However, in the case of an Account-Based Pension, SIS Regulation 1.06(9A)(a) simply requires that “the total payments in any year...is at least the amount calculated under Clause 1 of Schedule 7”.
- Unlike the old rules for Allocated Pensions, the ‘payments’ do not exclude commutations paid in cash.
- When it comes to taxing ‘payments’ from a pension it is the Income Tax Assessment Act (ITAA 1997) that applies.

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- For example, the term 'pension' is not used – rather, the ITAA 1997 defines the types of payments:
 - Superannuation lump sums (taxed using the low rate cap/maximum rate of 17% as outlined earlier for those between 55-59); and
 - Superannuation income stream benefits (taxed at normal marginal rates less the 15% tax offset for those between 55-59).
- ***So when is a payment a 'superannuation income stream benefit' or a 'superannuation lump sum'?***

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- The default position is any payment from a superannuation income stream (i.e. a pension) is a superannuation income stream benefit.
- This is established in the definition of ‘superannuation income stream benefit’ set out in Regulation 995-1.01 of the Income Tax Assessment Regulations 1997 (ITAR 1997).
- However, Regulation 995-1.03 ITAR 1997 states a payment from a superannuation income stream is **not** a superannuation income stream benefit if it comes from an income stream which allows flexible payment amounts such as an Account-Based Pension:
- “...*the person to whom the payment is made elects, before a particular payment is made, that the payment is not to be treated as a superannuation income stream benefit*” (Regulation 995-1.03(b)).

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- Any payment that is not a superannuation income stream benefit (whether from a pension or not) **is a superannuation lump sum** (Section 307-65, ITAA 1997).
- Bringing all this together:
- If a payment is made from an ongoing Account-Based Pension, it will be a 'superannuation income stream benefit' (i.e. taxed like a pension) unless the member specifically chooses beforehand to have it treated as a lump sum; and
- Even if the member does make this election (and hence the payment is taxed as a lump sum), there is nothing in SIS Regulation 1.06(9A)(a) which would stop it being counted towards the minimum pension requirements set out in Schedule 7.

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- Under legislation applicable before 1 July 2007, the relevant tax and SIS legislation were more closely aligned.
- In particular, cash commutations were specifically excluded from payments counting towards the minimum pension requirements in the definition of allocated pensions (SIS Regulation 1.06(4)).
- For clients under the age of 60, it is possible to use this interpretation to provide a marked difference in the effective rate of tax paid.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study

- Richard has a pension which consists entirely of a taxable component.
- He is 58 and his balance is \$1,000,000.
- He has retired from the work force.
- He has not used any of his low rate (taxable lump sum) threshold.
- He wishes to draw the minimum possible from his Account Based Pension – 4% or \$40,000.
- How much tax does he have to pay?

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

	Tax to pay
Taxed as an income stream	
\$40,000 @ 49% (less 15% offset)	\$13,600
\$40,000 @ 39% (less 15% offset)	\$9,600
\$40,000 @ 34.5% (less 15% offset)	\$7,800
\$40,000 @ 21% (less 15% offset)	\$2,400
Taxed as a lump sum (0 – 17%)	\$0 - \$6,800

- *There will be no tax to pay as Richard has not used his low rate threshold.*
- The ability to treat pension payments as lump sums for tax purposes is most attractive to high MTR taxpayers under 60 years old.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study

- What if Richard has already used his low cap threshold?
- $\$40,000 \times 17\% = \$6,800$.
- Therefore if Richard has a MTR of 34.5% or greater, it may be more beneficial to not treat the payment as a pension under Reg 995-1.03 (ITAR 1997).
- The fund will still be treated a tax exempt entity.
- It may save significant amounts of tax for the fund as well (as it will be considered to be in pension mode).

Pension Payment Standards

Can a lump sum be a pension payment?

- However, the ATO has expressed the view that an individual can only make the 'lump sum election' if they are eligible to partially commute their pension.
- This means where someone has a TTR they would not be able to make the election.
- One needs to be mindful of the fact that the election must be made before the payment occurs.
- The election is only effective while the pension is still in place – if the pension is terminated (commuted, ceases or cashed out to pay a death benefit) the election cannot be made and the payment will not count towards the minimum pension standards.

Pension Payment Standards

Can a pension be paid “In-Specie”?

- “In-Specie” means an asset is paid to a pension recipient instead of cash.
- The answer is YES.
- We know that a partial commutation will count towards the minimum pension payment standards.
- The ATO have stated in Taxation Ruling TR 2013/5 that an “In-Specie” payment will count towards the minimum pension payment standards.
- This means a property in the fund could be transferred to a member in pension mode “In-Specie” and it will count against the pension payment standards.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study

- Daron is 65 and working full time.
- He starts an Account Based Pension with his balance in the fund (\$1.7 million) on 1 July 2014.
- The fund assets include an Art Deco apartment in Thornbury worth \$685,000.
- The property has a cost base of \$350,000 and was acquired 5 years ago.
- Daron can have the property paid to him “In-Specie”.
- He can also elect to have the payment not treated as an income stream under Reg 995-1.03 (ITAR 1997).

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- What about Capital Gains Tax (CGT)?
- To pay the property to Daron “In Specie” a partial commutation of the existing pension must take place.
- Therefore the asset is in accumulation mode just prior to being paid out as an “In Specie” lump sum.
- Assets in accumulation mode are subject to CGT.
- But the CGT is pro-rata, as the fund is in pension mode for the majority of the year.
- The CGT is only $1/365^{\text{th}}$ of what it would be if the fund was in accumulation mode for the whole year.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- Therefore $\$685,000 - \$350,000 = \$335,000$ capital gain.
- $\$335,000 \times 1/365 = \918 pro rata capital gain.
- \$918 is then taxed at the fund CGT rate of 10%.
- The CGT is \$91.80.
- Stamp Duty must also be considered, as the “In Specie” payment may involve a change of beneficial owner.

Pension Payment Standards

Overpayment of pensions

- Taxation Ruling TR 2013/5 states that if a fund overpays or underpays a pension the income stream ceases at the beginning of that financial year.
- The fund will revert back to accumulation mode.
- The amounts received in that financial year will be considered lump sums, not pension payments.
- If the member wants to continue an income stream it will need to be restarted in the next financial year.
- This can be an issue where a fund overpays a Transition to Retirement pension.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study

- Richard, 55, commenced a TTR on 1 July 2011 with \$400,000.
- His pension consists of the following components:
 - Tax free (50%).
 - Taxable (50%).
- On 1 July 2013 his benefit is worth \$450,000.
- The drawdown rate can be between 4% (\$18,000) and 10% (\$45,000).
- Richard pays the pension as one payment in the last month of each financial year.
- Richard has a Marginal Tax Rate (MTR) of 39%.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- In the 2013/14 financial year, the fund earns income of \$24,000.
- Richard draws \$46,000 as a pension payment in the 2013/14 financial year – more than his maximum.
- Therefore the ATO states the fund has ceased being in pension mode on 1 July 2013.
- The \$46,000 received by Richard will be taxed at his MTR with no allowance for the tax-free component and no 15% rebate on the taxable component.
- The fund will be taxed on the \$24,000 earnings as an accumulation fund.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- If Richard is in pension mode:

Pension income received	\$45,000
Less tax-free component	(\$22,500)
Taxable component	\$22,500
Tax on taxable component	(\$8,775)
Less 15% Rebate	\$3,375
Net tax payable	(\$5,400)

- As the fund is in pension mode, it pays no tax on the \$24,000 income earned.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- If Richard is NOT in pension mode:

Received from the SMSF	\$46,000
Less tax-free component	Nil
Taxable component	\$46,000
Tax on SMSF receipts	(\$17,940)
Less 15% Rebate	Nil
Net tax payable	(\$17,940)

- As the fund is in accumulation mode, it pays 15% tax on the \$24,000 income earned.
- $\$24,000 \times 15\% = \$3,600$.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- If in pension mode the total tax is \$5,400.
- If the fund does not meet the pension payment standards, the tax is as follows:
 - \$17,940 for Richard.
 - \$3,600 for the SMSF.
- The difference between the two scenarios is over \$16,000 in tax.
- Richard may be in breach of the preservation rules if he has no Unrestricted Non-Preserved benefit in his member balance.
- He has accessed a lump sum but not satisfied a condition of release.

Pension Payment Standards

Underpayment of pensions

- The same logic applies if a fund underpays a pension.
- However there are circumstances when the Commissioner will exercise Powers of General Administration (GPA).
- Therefore the Commissioner now has discretion to overlook underpayments.
- This will allow an SMSF to continue to claim Exempt Current Pension Income (ECPI) where the Trustees have not met the minimum pension payment standards.
- *However a number of factors must be in place.*

Pension Payment Standards

Underpayment of pensions

- The under-payment was due to an honest mistake or matters outside the Trustee's control.
- The entitlement to ECPI would have continued but for the under-payment.
- The Trustee made a catch-up payment in the following FY as soon as practicable.
- Had the catch-up payment been made the minimum pension standards would be met.
- The Trustee treats the catch-up payment as if it were made in the previous FY.

Pension Payment Standards

Underpayment of pensions

- If all of the above conditions are satisfied, then pension continues.
- The proportioning rule does not need to be applied again to determine the tax-free/taxable components.
- The Trustee can continue to claim ECPI.
- Any payments received by the member will be treated as pension payments.
- BUT Commissioner will only allow GPA discretion if the under-payment is 'small' – it cannot exceed one-twelfth of the minimum pension payment in the relevant income year.
- As soon as practicable is considered to mean within 28 days of the under-payment – or if due to events outside the Trustee's control - 28 days of the Trustee being made aware of the under-payment.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study

- Richard, 66, is in pension mode.
- He has \$720,000 in his Account Based Pension.
- Richard's minimum pension for the 2013/14 financial year is \$36,000.
- He normally withdraws the money on a monthly basis (\$3,000 a month).
- Richard is away overseas in the month of June 2014 and only takes out \$33,000 for the financial year.
- As such, he has not met the pension payment standards so the fund will not be considered in pension mode.

Pension Payment Standards

Case Study (cont.)

- Trustees become aware of the \$3,000 under-payment today.
- If the Trustees make the \$3,000 catch up payment in 2014/15, that payment will apply to the previous financial year and the fund will be considered in pension mode in 2013/14.
- Trustees able to self-assess this catch-up payment.
- But all the conditions must be in place and it is a once-off.
- If the Trustees want to use the discretion again in the future, they will need to apply directly to the Commissioner for discretion.

Death Benefits from Super

Tax on Death Benefits

- Tax on pensions depends on age.
- If the deceased was 60 pension is tax-free to recipient.
- If the recipient is 60 pension is tax-free.
- If both aged <60 pension it depends on the pension components:

Tax Free

Nil

Taxable

MTR less 15% rebate

- Pension becomes tax free once the death benefit pension recipient turns 60.

Death Benefits from Super

Case Study

- Donald is 68 and in pension mode when he dies.
- His wife, Melanie is the reversionary pensioner mentioned in Donald's Pension Agreement.
- Melanie is 34.
- As Donald was aged 60 or over, Melanie receives Donald's reversionary pension income tax free.

Death Benefits from Super

Case Study

- Jasper is 55 and in pension mode when he dies.
- His wife, Belinda is the beneficiary named in Jasper's Binding Death Benefit Nomination.
- Belinda is 74 and decides to take Jasper's benefit as a death benefit pension.
- As Belinda is aged 60 or over, she receives the pension income tax free.

Services to support SMSF Trustees

How can we help?

- Advice – technical, structuring and procedural advice.
- Consultations – analysis of individual circumstances.
- Documents – Minutes, Trustee Resolutions, member requests and Pension Agreements.

Disclaimer

This presentation was prepared by SuperIQ Pty Ltd (ABN 27 147 105 164) ("SIQ").

Material contained in this presentation is a summary only and is based on information believed to be reliable and received from sources within the market. The information is believed to be accurate at the time of compilation and is provided by SIQ in good faith. However, the statements including assumptions and conclusions are not intended to be a comprehensive statement of relevant practice or law that is often complex and can change. It is not the intention of SIQ that this presentation be used as the primary source of readers' information but as an adjunct to their own resources and training.

To the extent permitted by law, no liability is accepted for any loss or damage as a result of any reliance on this information. SuperIQ does not guarantee the performance of any fund or the return of an investor's capital. No representation is given, warranty made or responsibility taken as to the accuracy, timeliness or completeness of any information or recommendation contained in this publication and SIQ will not be liable to the reader in contract or tort (including for negligence) or otherwise for any loss or damage arising as a result of the reader relying on any such information or recommendation (except in so far as any statutory liability cannot be excluded).

Individual circumstances, in particular relating to self managed superannuation funds, may vary greatly. This presentation has been prepared for general information purposes only and not having regard to any particular person's investment objectives, financial situation or needs. Accordingly, no recommendation (express or implied) or other information should be acted upon without obtaining specific advice from an authorised representative.

See your future clearly

1300 660 598
superiq.com.au

1800 625 644
superconcepts.com.au